UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
CHARMEL L HUDSON, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THEESTATE OF JEFFREY HUDSON, AND CHARMEL L HUDSON INDIVIDUALLY Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER, Defendants	

Document 1

Filed 03/20/2007

Page 1 of 12

Case 1:07-cv-04189-AKH

By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.

NOTICE OF ADOPTION

All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Paintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Paintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an ' \Box '" if applicable to the instant Paintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, CHARMEL L HUDSON, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF JEFFREY HUDSON, AND CHARMEL L HUDSON INDIVIDUALLY, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1		\checkmark	Plaintiff, JEFFREY	HUDSON	(hereinafter the	"Injured	Plaintiff"), is an	individual
and a citi	izen o	f	resi	ding at					
					(OR)				

	2. Alternatively, CHARMEL L HUDSON is the Personal Representative of Decedent FREY HUDSON, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as Personal Representative of the Estate EFFREY HUDSON.				
3. Pennsylvania to the Injured	residing at 2416 Windingway, Tobyhan Plaintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I JEFFREY HUDSON, and bring injuries sustained by her husban	inafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of ma, PA 18466-, and has the following relationship merein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff mgs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff JEFFREY HUDSON. Other:			
4. Edison of Nev	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 10/20/w York, Inc. as a Trouble Shooter at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated			
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations			
From on or ab Approximatel	Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) out _9/11/2001_ until _10/20/2001_; y _16_ hours per day; for y _21_ days total.	The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. ■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■■			
From on or ab Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout, until, y hours per day; for y days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:			
Approximatel	Kills Landfill out until; y hours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:			
*Continue th	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separate	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.			
5.	Injured Plaintiff				
	Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated			
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all			
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at			
	Please read this doc It is very important that you fill out each				

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

6.

 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pt 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilize U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequent by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pt 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety and System Stabilization 	Injured	
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequer by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pr 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization System System		B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
 by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. P 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization 		B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz		
further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.		im to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 3)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 2101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	l <u> </u>
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☐ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL □EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD INADL COMIANI, L.I.	LEVAINGEN VIRUNIVIEN LAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

- ☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO, INC.
- ☑ ROBER SILMAN ASSOCIATES
- ☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC
- ✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.
- ☑ ROYAL GM INC.
- ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.
- ✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP
- ☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING
- ☑ WOLKOW-BRAKER ROOFING CORP ✓ WORLD TRADE CENTER PROPERTIES. ☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC ✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC. ✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC ☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04189-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 12 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers J ; ☑ Contested, but the provided provided in the contest of the contest o	ur isdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); \square Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of liał law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to est		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
√	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
√	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e	\triangleright	Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-04189-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 9 of 12 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u>			Cardiovascular Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Bronchitis;		√	Fear of Cancer
<u> </u>	RADS; Rhinitis			Date of onset: 4/15/2005
	Date of onset: 4/15/2005			Date physician first connected this injury
	Date physician first connected this injury to			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			date
	Wife work. 10 be supplied at a later date			date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		√	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:		V	Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	WIC WOIK.			to wite work.
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	ısti	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged
	1101L. The foregoing is 1101 an exhau	ısıı	ve usi	of infuries that may be atteged.
	2 As a direct and provimate result of	f th	o iniur	ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Crown	and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		•	ž – ž
	*	· W	111 III U	le future surfer the following compensable
dama	ges: ====================================			
====	Pain and suffering	:		
V	Fam and suffering			
	I are of the enjoyment of life			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
	, ,			
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
V	, ,			
	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			
	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 2, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Charmel L Hudson, as Personal Representative of the estate of Jeffrey Hudson, and Charmel L Hudson Individuals

By: _____

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466) 115 Broadway 12th Floor New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
March 2, 2007

perjury that:

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Case 1:07-cv-04189-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 12 of 12

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CHARMEL L HUDSON, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF JEFFREY HUDSON, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at Μ. Dated,

> Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP